Table II.A.2.c.(3)(2001) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

1 3	8 3					1 3,		
Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States New England:	5. 5%	8. 2%	7. 0%	2. 4%	0. 9%	4. 1%	7. 3%	2. 9%
Mai ne	3. 1%						4.8%	****
Rhode Island	9. 7%						13. 4%	1. 6% *
Vermont	6. 7%						9. 1%	1. 1% *
Massachusetts	4. 2%						6. 3%	0. 3% *
Connecti cut	4. 1% *						5. 3% *	1. 4% *
Middle Atlantic:	4. 1/0						J. 3/0	1. 4/0
New York	8. 8%						11. 2%	3. 2% *
New Jersey	3. 9%		These cell es	timates have be	en suppressed		2. 5% *	6.8% *
Pennsyl vani a	6. 7%		because the size			G.	8. 7%	3. 5% *
East North Central:						3		
Ohi o	6. 6%		them extremel	y unreliable.	Column or row		8. 8%	3. 2% *
Indi ana	7. 5%		estimates show	ıld be used in p	olace of these		11. 3%	2. 3% *
Illinois	2. 6%			estimates.			3. 1% *	1. 7% *
Mi chi gan	12. 0%			eser marces.			16. 0%	4. 1% *
Wi sconsi n	4. 6%						7. 2%	0. 1% *
West North Central:	1. 0/0						7. 270	0. 1/0
Mi nnesota	11. 9%						15. 4%	6. 0% *
I owa	11. 4%						9. 8%	13. 6% *
Mi ssouri	3. 1% *						5. 1% *	0. 9% *
South Atlantic:	3. 1/0						3. 1/0	0. 9%
Del aware	5. 6% *						6. 1% *	4. 9% *
Maryl and	4. 2% *						6. 5% *	1. 4% *
District of Columbia	2. 9% 5. 1%						4. 5% *	0. 6% *
Vi rgi ni a							7. 3%	2. 3% *
North Carolina	6. 6% *						12. 6%	0. 0% *
South Carolina	3. 6% *						7. 4% * 5. <b>8</b> % *	0. 0% *
Georgi a	3. 0% *						0. 0/0	0. 5% *
Flori da	1. 5% *						2. 5% *	0. 1% *
East South Central:	0.00/ **						0 70/ *	4 00/ 1/
Kentucky	6. 8% *						8. 5% *	4. 9% *
Tennessee	3. 2% *						4. 6% *	1. 9% *
Al abama	6. 9%						11. 3%	1. 3% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	<b>5. 6</b> % *						11.4%	****
West South Central:								
Arkansas	3. 9%						6. 9%	0. 8% *
Loui și ana	2. 9% *						5. 7% *	0.0% *
0kl ahoma	1. 6% *						2. 8% *	0. 1% *
Texas	1. 7% *						3. 2% *	0. 4% *
Mountain:								
I daho	9.6% *						13. 2%	4. 2% *
Col orado	3. 9% *						2. 6% *	<b>6. 0</b> % *
Ari zona	3. 0% *						4. 8% *	0. 9% *
Utah	4. 2% *						5. 2% *	3. 0% *
Nevada	2. 2% *						3. 2% *	0. 8% *
Pacific:								
Washi ngton	5. 3% *						5. 7% *	4.6% *
0regon	5. 4% *						5. 9% *	4.6% *
Cal i forni a	5. 5%						4. 7%	6. 8% *
Al aska	7. 9%						13. 3%	1. 1% *
Hawai i	10. 5%						8. 4%	14. 9% *
States not shown separately	9. 7%						12. 2%	5. 2% *
service and an according	0							<b>0. 2.</b> 0

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends, 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

\*\*\*\*\*\* No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table II. A. 2. c. (3) (2001) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 2001 (42 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	0. 31%	0. 72%	0. 62%	0. 32%	0. 21%	0. 81%	0. 45%	0. 54%
New Engl and:	0.710/						1 040/	****
Mai ne	0. 71%						1. 04%	
Rhode Island	1. 57%						2. 38%	0. 90% *
Vermont	1. 17%						1. 39%	1. 61% *
Massachusetts	0. 90%						1. 32%	0. 19% *
Connecticut	1. 50% *						2. 14% *	0. 78% *
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	1. 48%						1. 65%	2. 01% *
New Jersey	1. 00%						0. 92% *	2. 32% *
Pennsyl vani a	0. 77%						1. 06%	1. 24% *
East North Central:								
Ohi o	1. 20%						1. 28%	1. 77% *
I ndi ana	1. 33%						2. 88%	1. 87% *
Illinois	0. 54%						0. 93% *	1. 15% *
Mi chi gan	1. 81%						2. 05%	1. 67% *
Wi sconsi n	0. 77%						1. 14%	0. 06% *
West North Central:								
Mi nnesota	1. 15%						1. 24%	2. 93% *
Iowa	2. 31%						2. 20%	5. 52% *
Mi ssouri	1. 10% *						1. 80% *	0. 45% *
South Atlantic:								
Del aware	2. 75% *						2. 32% *	3. 95% *
Maryl and	1. 53% *						3. 07% *	0. 62% *
District of Columbia	0. 86%						1. 54% *	0. 41% *
Vi rgi ni a	0. 90%						1. 26%	1. 58% *
North Carolina	2. 14% *						3. 07%	0. 03% *
South Carolina	1. 20% *						2. 37% *	0. 01% *
Georgi a	1. 06% *						1. 85% *	0. 36% *
Fl ori da	0. 54% *						0. 88% *	0. 21% *
East South Central:	0. 54/0						0. 88%	0. 21/0
Kentucky	2. 07% *						2. 61% *	2. 18% *
Tennessee	0. 99% *						1. 49% *	0. 92% *
Al abama	1. 48%						3. 30%	1. 03% *
	1. 73% *						3. 23%	****
Mississippi West South Central:	1. 73/0						3. 23/0	
Arkansas	0. 88%						1. 88%	0. 90% *
	1. 10% *						1. 94% *	0. 90% *
Loui si ana								
Okl ahoma	0. 66% *						1. 18% *	0. 08% *
Texas	0. 64% *						1. 52% *	0. 33% *
Mountai n:	0 070/ #						0.710	0 470/ 4
Idaho	2. 97% *						3. 71%	2. 47% *
Col orado	1. 67% *						1. 19% *	4. 38% *
Ari zona	1. 30% *						2. 85% *	0. 50% *
Utah	1. 53% *						1. 79% *	4. 17% *
Nevada	1. 16% *						2. 00% *	0. 39% *
Paci fi c:								
Washi ngton	2. 03% *						2. 79% *	4. 68% *
0regon	1. 94% *						1. 89% *	3. 75% *
Cal i forni a	1. 30%						0. 75%	3. 23% *
Al aska	1. 66%						3. 74%	0. 41% *
Hawai i	2. 10%						0. 71%	6. 56% *
States not shown separately	2. 16%						2. 56%	2. 60% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Financing, Access and Cost Trends, 2001 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component \*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.
\*\*\*\*\* No estimate available. No reported values in cell.